10-CV-01077-CMP

2010 JUN 29 PM 4: 50
·
 U.S. BANKOUPTOY COURT

(If you have more defendants, list them using the same outline on another piece of paper.

Attach additional sheets, if necessary)

## **Jurisdiction**

(Reason your case is being filed in federal court)

CIVIL AIGHTS: RACIAL DISCRIMINATION,
AGE DISCRIMINATION AND DISCRIMINATION
AGAINST ETHNIC BACK GROUND.
RETALIATION

## **Statement of Claim:**

(State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case.)

```
I. AMANUEL REDDA BEGAN WORKING FOR
DIAMOND PARKING, ING. ON FEBRUARY 10,1984
I BEGAN MY WORK AS A "ROUTE CHECKER"
ALTHOUGH MY OVERAL CONTRIBUTION TO DIAMOND PARKING, ING. AND IT'S AFFILIATED PARKING, CO.
WAS SECOND TO NONE, I WAS CONDEMED TO
REMAIN AS A ROUTE CHECKER UNTIL LATE
JUNE 2000 WHEN I WAS PROMOTED TO ASSISTANT NIGHT SUPER VISOR. WITHIN MONTHS I WAS A FULL—TIME NIGHT, WEEKEND'S AND HOLIDAY MANAGER.
I WAS LAID OFF ON OCTOBER M. 2008.
ALTHOUGH MY TITLE AND DUTY REMAINED THE SAME AS A MANAGER, I WAS WORKING ONLY ABOUT 20 (TWENTY) HOUR'S A WEEK IN 2008
ACCORDING TO THE CITY MANAGER MR. RICK SMITH, I WAS LAID OFF BECAUSE OF ECONOMICAL DECISIONS AND THE REASON WHY I WAS SELECTED TO BE LAID OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS IND OFF ACCORDING MR. RICK SMITH WAS, I WAS INDSTEAD SALARY LIKE THE REST MANAGERS.
ALTHOUGH I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE STATUTE, OF LIMITA
—TION ON RETALIATION, I AM SURE THAT CERTAIN —
```

1 Statement of Claim (continued): 2 -> PERCENTAGE OF THEIR DECISIONS WAS RETALIATORY 3 IN NATURE, DUE TO THER FACT THAT I WAS NOT AFRAID TO CALL IT AS 15", ASK FOR CLARIFICATIONS 4 OR CORRECTIONS WHEN I SEE UNETHICAL CONDUCT 5 OF BUSINESS BEHAVIORS OR MISTREAT EMPLOYEES. THEREFORE I BELIEVE THE SO CALLED "ECONOMICAL 6 DECISION" WAS A COVER-UP FOR A PREMEDITATED 7 DECISION THAT WAS MADE TO GET-RID OF ME WHY ITHINK MY BEEN LAID OFF 15 DISCRIMINATOR 8 (1) THERE WAS A NEW MANAGER WHO IN NATURE WAS EARRING MORE MONEY. SHE WAS HIRED FEW 9 MONITH'S PRIOR TO OCTOBER 11, 2008 (THE DAY I WAS LAID OF 10 SHE WAS CAUCASIAN AND A LOT YOUNGER, AND 11 IWAS ONE OF THE PEOPLE WHO TRAINED HER. THIS LADY WAS NOT LAID OFF. 12 2) THE PERSON WHO REPLACED US WAS ABOUT HAL DEMY AGE, HE WAS ALSO CAUCASIAN AND FROM TH BEGINING HE WAS PAID MORE THAN WHAT I WAS 13 14 MAKING AFTE ALMOST TWENT FOUR YEARS. 15 3) HISTORICALLY THE TIME I WAS LAID OFF IS WHEN DIAMOND PARKING IS THE BUSIEST DUE TO MULTIPLE EVENTS, CHRISTMAS AND NEWYEAR 16 IRONICALLY I WAS CALLED BACK TO WORK 17 DURING THE SLOWEST SEASON OF THE YEAR IN TH PARKING BUSINESS IN SEATTLE, WA. ONLY BECAUSE 18 I FILLED WITH THE EEOC. I WAS ALSO ASKED 19 TO WORK UNDER THE NEW PERSON WHO RELPLACE 20 WIN MY EIGHT YEARS AS A MANAGER ALL MANAGER WHO HAVE SIMILAR DUTIES WERE PAID MORE AND 21 22 ALL WERE CAUCASIANS. It THEN BECOME'S APPARENT TO ME THAT I WAS NOT LAID OFF BECAUSE OF BAD ECONOMY 23 24 25

## **Relief:**

(State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you)

BECAUSE I HAVE UNNECESSARILY LOST PART OF
MY LIVILHOOD DUE TO WHAT I BELIEVE IS A
RACIAL, AGE AND AGAINST ETHNIC BACK GROUND
DISCRIMINATION AND AS WELL AS RETALIATION.
AS A RSULT IT CAUSED EMOTIONAL PROBLEMS
I WAS CRYING LIKE A BOY WHEN I HEARD THAT
I WAS REPLACED BY A YOUNGER CAUCASIAN MAND.
SUDDENLY AFTER ALMOST 24 (TWENTY FOUR) YEAR'S
OF HARD WORK AND POSITIVE REVIEWS I WAS
NO ONE.
JUST BECAUS I AM 56 YEAR'S OLD WITH BACK
SURGERY AND PROBLEM WITH MY LEG DOES NOT
MEAN I AM OF NO GOOD.
THE EMOTIONAL PROBLEM WAS LOORST BECAUSE OF
THE TIME OF THE YEAR THEY DECIDED TO LAID ME
OFF (AROUND CHRISTMAS 2008 AND NEWYEAR 2009
I REQUEST THE COURT TO DEMAND DIRMOND

PARKING, INC TO PAY \$ 400,000 FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND US. D'OLLARS

Jury Demand:

(Optional)

JUNE 29,2010 Date

IN RESTITUTION.

Signature of Plaintiff Ly Autonomy 8 5 h

16:4 Hd 67 Min 6107

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

## **DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS**

To:	Amanuel Redda		
	8438 S. 112th Street		
	Seattle, WA 98178		

From:

**Seattle Field Office** 909 First Avenue

	le, WA 98178 Suite 400 Seattle, WA 98				
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))				
EEOC Charg		Telephone No.			
	William S. Benedict,				
551-2009-	00767 Investigator	(206) 220-6918			
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON	<b>l:</b>			
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by	by the EEOC.			
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.				
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.				
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge				
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.				
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices age	ency that investigated this charge.			
	Other (briefly state)	÷			
	- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)				
Discrimina You may fi lawsuit mu	ne Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your lea lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in st be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to suit ime limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)	right to sue that we will send you.  n federal or state court. Your			
alleged EP	Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years) A underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurry file suit may not be collectible.				
	Bodewie W. Ustand for ALC	MAR 8 0 2010			
Enclosures(	A. Luis Lucero, Jr., Director	(Date Mailed)			
cc: D	IAMOND PARKING	•			
c. D	o Kathryn S. Rosen, Attorney avis Wright Termaine, LLP 201 Third Avenue				

Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98101-3045

10:0 Ma 50 NA C: 21